

Are Dental Implants a Panacea or Should We Better Strive to Save Teeth?

Journal of Dental Research
2016, Vol. 95(1) 5–6
© International & American Associations
for Dental Research 2015
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0022034515618942
jdr.sagepub.com

W.V. Giannobile^{1,2} and N.P. Lang³

Keywords: peri-implantitis, oral implants, implant dentistry, periodontitis, tooth maintenance, function

Dental implants have become an important treatment for the replacement of teeth lost due to disease, injury, or congenital tooth agenesis (Adell et al. 1990). Over the past 30 years, the incorporation of dental implants into everyday clinical dental practice has resulted in major improvements in oral health of our patients through enhancements in function, esthetics, and phonetics. In this month's issue of the *Journal*, we highlight new evidence on the biological complications of dental implants and the great challenges associated with predictable implant therapy. These adverse outcomes associated with implants have come to the forefront of discussion groups in periodontology, oral surgery, prosthodontics, and implant dentistry relating not only to prosthetic (technical) failure but also persistent infections surrounding implants. A recent systematic review based on a European consensus conference revealed that the prevalence of peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis ranges from 19% to 65% (Derks and Tomsai 2015). This month, results from one of the largest studies performed in Sweden show that peri-implantitis and peri-implant mucositis are common biological complications of implant therapy (Derks et al. 2016) that may jeopardize the longevity of reconstructions on implants. Tarnow (2016) comments on how far we have come in implant dentistry while at the same time recognizing the influence on success of implant placements by generalists, specialists, and different implant configurations/surfaces. One finds that reconstructive therapy of peri-implantitis lesions is unpredictable as yet when compared to surgical resection, as evidenced by recent investigations and systematic reviews (Khoshkam et al. 2013; Carcuac et al. 2016; Jepsen et al. 2016).

A trend affecting clinical practice over the past 2 decades has been the reduced emphasis to “save compromised teeth.” In fact, studies have demonstrated that those with less training in periodontology and implant dentistry generally apply reduced efforts in addressing tooth retention (Lang-Hua et al. 2014). It is noted that less trained individuals are often recommending tooth extraction versus retention. As such, many teeth are being condemned at early stages given the expediency that lends itself to quickly rid a problematic tooth and provide a new tooth replacement implant. It is not unusual for many practitioners to recommend tooth extraction with modest tooth-associated ailments such as caries, need for endodontic therapy, or periodontal involvement. There are many scenarios where patients are advised to get rid of the compromised tooth and get the “newer, better” implant. It has recently been advocated that practice patterns should change to retain more teeth

given the excellent long-term track record of successful therapy for tooth preservation (Axelsson and Lindhe 1981; Lindhe and Pacey 2014). It is acknowledged that in many clinical situations, advanced diseases such as caries and periodontitis render teeth hopeless, requiring implant prosthetic solutions to rehabilitate patients. Most all dental implant systems are susceptible to peri-implant biological complications (Derks et al. 2015). These complications result in very difficult to treat options, including local mechanical therapy and antibiotics, resective surgery, regeneration, or, in a large number of cases, removal. The erroneous belief of implants yielding a better long-term prognosis has now clearly been rejected in several comparative studies and systematic reviews. Teeth even compromised because of periodontal disease or endodontic problems may have a longevity that surpasses by far that of the average implant (Carnevale et al. 1998; Hardt et al. 2002; Lang and Zitzmann 2012; Salvi et al. 2014; Klinge et al. 2015).

This dialogue can be a call to action to revisit the long history of success of tooth maintenance to preserve the natural dentition without the rush to extract teeth and replace with implants. We do a disservice to our patients and ourselves without carefully weighing the advantages and disadvantages of such options in providing the optimal oral health care delivery to our patients. We have been trained to preserve teeth. Let us face the challenge. If we select an “early removal of compromised teeth” paradigm, the dental profession will lose most of its expertise in preserving a functional dentition for a lifetime.

Acknowledgments

The authors received no financial support and declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

¹Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, Ann Arbor, USA

²Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

³Department of Periodontology, University of Zurich, Center for Dental Medicine, Switzerland

Corresponding Author:

W.V. Giannobile, Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, 1011 N. University Ave., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1078, USA.
Email: wgiannob@umich.edu

References

- Adell R, Eriksson B, Lekholm U, Brånemark PI, Jemt T. 1990. Long-term follow-up study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of totally edentulous jaws. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 5(4):347–359.
- Axelsson P, Lindhe J. 1981. The significance of maintenance care in the treatment of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 8(4):281–294.
- Carcuac O, Derks J, Charalampakis G, Abrahamsson I, Wennström J, Berglundh T. 2016. Adjunctive systemic and local antimicrobial therapy in the surgical treatment of peri-implantitis: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Dent Res*. 95(1):50–57.
- Carnevale G, Pontoriero R, di Febo G. 1998. Long-term effects of root-resective therapy in furcation-involved molars: a 10-year longitudinal study. *J Clin Periodontol*. 25:209–214.
- Derks J, Håkansson J, Wennström JL, Tomasi C, Larsson M, Berglundh T. 2015. Effectiveness of implant therapy analyzed in a Swedish population: early and late implant loss. *J Dent Res*. 94(3 Suppl):44S–51S.
- Derks J, Shaller D, Håkansson J, Wennström J, Tomasi C, Berglundh T. 2016. Effectiveness of implant therapy analyzed in a Swedish population: prevalence of peri-implantitis. *J Dent Res*. 95(1):43–49.
- Derks J, Tomsai C. 2015. Peri-implant health and disease: A systematic review of current epidemiology. *J Clin Periodontol*. 42(Suppl)16:S158–S171.
- Hardt CR, Gröndahl K, Lekholm U, Wennström JL. 2002. Outcome of implant therapy in relation to experienced loss of periodontal bone support: a retrospective 5-year study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 13(5):488–494.
- Jepsen K, Jepsen S, Laine M, Ansarri M, Pilloni A, Zeza B, Sanz M, Ortiz-Vigon A, Roos-Jansaker A-M, Renvert S. 2016. Reconstruction of peri-implant osseous defects: a multicenter randomized trial. *J Dent Res*. 95(1):58–66.
- Klinge B, Flemming T, Cosyn J, De Bruyn H, Eisner BM, Hultin M, Isidor F, Lang NP, Lund B, Meyle J, et al. 2015. The patient undergoing implant therapy: summary and consensus statements. The 4th EAO Consensus Conference 2015. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 26(Suppl 11):64–67.
- Khoshkam V, Chan HL, Lin GH, MacEachern MP, Monje A, Suarez F, Giannobile WV, Wang HL. 2013. Reconstructive procedures for treating peri-implantitis: a systematic review. *J Dent Res*. 92(12 Suppl):131S–138S.
- Lang NP, Zitzmann NU; Working Group 3 of the VIII European Workshop on Periodontology. 2012. Clinical research in implant dentistry: evaluation of implant-supported restorations, aesthetic and patient-reported outcomes. *J Clin Periodontol*. 39(Suppl 12):133–138.
- Lang-Hua BH, McGrath CP, Lo EC, Lang NP. 2014. Factors influencing treatment decision-making for maintaining or extracting compromised teeth. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 25(1):59–66.
- Lindhe J, Pacey L. 2014. There is an overuse of implants in the world and an underuse of teeth as targets for treatment. *Br Dent J*. 217(8):396–397.
- Salvi GE, Mischler DC, Schmidlin K, Matulien G, Pjetursson BE, Brägger U, Lang NP. 2014. Risk factors associated with the longevity of multi-rooted teeth. Long-term outcomes after active and supportive periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol*. 41(7):701–707.
- Tarnow D. 2016. Increasing prevalence of peri-implantitis: how will we manage? *J Dent Res*. 95(1):7–8.